

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/617,943	07/11/2003	Suming Wang	P06341US00	3979
22885	7590 08/07/2006	•	EXAMINER	
•	ORHEES & SEASE,	HANDY, NIKKI R		
801 GRAND A SUITE 3200	AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DES MOINES, IA 50309-2721			1616	

DATE MAILED: 08/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/6	17,943	WANG ET AL.				
		Exan	niner	Art Unit				
			Handy	1616				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears o	n the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Nations of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE Of sof 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. tatutory period will apply to will, by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMUN no event, however, may a and will expire SIX (6) MO ne application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .						
2a)□		2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.					
3)□		•—		tters, prosecution as to th	e merits is			
-,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims	·	•					
·								
•	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· <u> </u>	∑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or electi	on requirement.					
					•			
· · ·	on Papers							
· <u> </u>	The specification is objected to by the	•						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any obje		· · ·	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examine	r. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority doc	cuments have beer	n received in this Nationa	l Stage			
	application from the Internation	onal Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)	•						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	O 450)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/11/2003.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/617,943 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-35, drawn to a method of treating Ataxia Telangeictasia comprising administering a chelating agent and carrier to an animal, classified in class 514, subclass 557,566.
- II. Claims 36-45, drawn to a composition comprising a chelating agent and carrier, classified in class 514, subclass 557,566.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as, disclosed by Weil et al., (cited on page 1, lines 19-21 of the specification). See MPEP § 806.05(h).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and would constitute an undue burden on the examiner, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## Notice of Possible Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise

Application/Control Number: 10/617,943

Art Unit: 1616

require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Page 3

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that formed the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,943 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

. Claims 1-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (a) as being anticipated by Shackelford et. al. (DNA repair, 2003).

Shackelford discloses a method of treating AT by administering to individuals (animal or cells) a therapeutically amount of a chelating agent (Desferrioxamine) and an antioxidant (t-butyl hydroperoxide). He also discloses administering the chelating agent to an animal or cell under oxidative stress and genomic stability. See abstract.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 36-45 is being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As described in the instant specification, applicants invention relates to the use of certain chelating agents and to pharmaceutical compositions containing one of these novel chelating agents to treat AT. Instant claim 36 is directed to "a method for providing a composition......". Said method is not applicants invention and the claims are therefore indefinite because applicants fail to claim what they regard as the invention. Claims 37-45 are rendered indefinite for the same reason.

No claims are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,943

Art Unit: 1616

### Telephonic Inquiry

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nikki Handy whose telephone number is (571) 272-9923. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 am-5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on (571) 272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nikki Handy NH Patent Examiner Art Unit 1616

> Johann Richter, Ph. D., Esq. Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600